Instead of the face-to-face meeting scheduled, a conference call was held when it was discovered that many members had conflicts and could not make it to the meeting.

**Pooled fund states/funding update**
The pooled fund solicitation and follow-up marketing packet from the Center has resulted in four states making a commitment. It is hoped that additional states will join as SPR funds are allocated in the future.

States would like to have a list of research priorities to use as they look to fund research within their state. The Road Map (Task 15) identified problem statements that could be the basis for initial work. As track committees are formed, a framework is developed and research priorities are identified. These frameworks and priority projects are posted on the website following TAC meetings.

NCHRP has mid-September deadline for problem statements. It appears that SHRP may get additional funding also and national research RFPs will be the likely result. Information on the priorities should be sent to the AASHTO meeting August 3-8, or the TRB subcommittee on Materials.

**Program process flowchart**
The draft flowchart that describes the steps in the Operations Support activities was discussed; modifications will be made to make it more understandable. What is needed:
- Timeline for each track
- Existing research
- Gaps
DOT Collaborative Agreements
Although DOTs were willing to verbally support the Road Map, many were hesitant to sign the collaborative agreement. DOTs may have been concerned about a long-term commitment when they were uncertain about the funding. It appears the collaboration agreement has value as a working document to explain the Road Map but probably will not work as an indication of commitment.

TRB workshop – 2009/annual
Tom Cackler has been in contact with Fred Hejl (TRB staff person that originates concrete related workshops) regarding a commitment to a Standing Workshop on the Sunday of TRB week. The technical content has not been finalized but it will involve concrete sustainability. The plan is to provide proposed problem statement needs that would lead to a set of priority projects and be sent forward through TRB.

Task Order #3 Draft Work Activities
- Travel for committees will be addressed
- Additional work for the future
- Develop recommendations for evaluating the success of the program
- Develop TAC to identify Sustainability track

Peter Kopac reiterated the federal governments plan for the Road Map to be a unified effort with the government paying for public agency involvement (travel) and industry paying for their own involvement in the formation of the TACs. The Center will continue to try to combine meetings as much as possible to minimize travel expense.

Update Operations Handbook
- Collaboration document stipulated any state supporting the pooled fund study will be a member of the Road Map Executive Committee. However, it is being recommended that the states signing up as full commitment pooled fund study participants will vote to seat two members. Agreed.

- Establish protocol for meetings
  - One physical meeting (not during TRB, either October or Feb/March)
  - Two, or more if needed, meetings via conference call/webcast

Additional comments:
- Surface Characteristics track should be the model for other tracks
- Recommend that FHWA tie research solicitations to Road Map. FALCON research teams need to point to Road Map tracks.