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1. Update on Task Order #5 Accomplishments. (Dale Harrington)  
   a. **Technical training for TPF States** (handout)  
      - State reps are being contacted regarding training designed for their specific needs.  
      - Training is being conducted for pooled fund states for each of pooled fund projects; some states are eligible for multiple workshops  
      - Handout showing all the training that has been coordinated with states, including the six CP Road Map pooled fund states. Virginia is the first state (Feb 8th).
   b. **E-news** (handout)  
      - Distribution list of @1600, plus TRB and other association link to it. It is the only program that highlights only concrete pavement research.
      Comments:  
      - Great document  
      - Challenge is how to make information accessible and used.
      - Perhaps distributing paper copies of E-news at TRB committee meetings would publize it. Once people see it, they may sign up to receive it, and be more apt to read the e-news
   c. **MAP Briefs** (handout)  
   d. **Refreshing of Road Map**  
      The refreshing of the Road Map tracks has been completed. It is being edited and then will be printed. The need for accelerating deployment and technology transfer is a big part of every track.
2. Brief review of the purpose of the CP Road Map (Tom Cackler) (handout)
   The Road Map is a comprehensive and strategic plan for concrete pavement research. Based on national input it focused on areas of research, specifically designed to prioritize research to help the concrete pavement community affect the way concrete pavements are designed and constructed.

3. Discuss future direction of the Road Map
   a. Current CP Road Map sponsorship and funding (Tom Cackler)
      • The Road Map is funded through a contract with FHWA and a pooled fund project involving 6 states. The contract is ending in June 2012.
   b. FHWA’s plan for future CP Road Map activities (Ahmad Ardani/Cheryl Richter)
      • Carol Richter: FHWA has been reviewing the Road Map pooled fund project. A conference call was held on December 6th with 5 pooled fund state reps (Virginia was not able to participate).
      • There is a need to develop a proposal delineating the Road Map successes challenges.
      • FHWA will no longer issue a CP Road Map contract but will continue to support R&D for tracks that match up with priority research and periodically convene an executive committee.
      • Iowa DOT may be willing to lead a pooled fund initiative to support other Road Map activities, i.e. Tech transfer, e-news, communications.
      • The state reps agreed to discuss a pooled fund project for portions of the Road Map at the spring NCC meeting, including whether it should include research.
      • FHWA perspective is a change in approach, not a change in commitment to making sure concrete pavement research is coordinating and pursued.
   c. Iowa’s willingness to facilitate a new TPF for concrete paving research and technology deployment. (Sandra Larson)
      • Sandra Larson: Perhaps some of the elements of the Road Map, such as tech transfer, e-news, MAP Briefs may be done through the TTCC pooled fund. There are several pooled fund projects closing and states are looking at future needs. Iowa will propose a pooled fund project that will include advanced PCC research – states will determine research priorities. Details need to be developed and discussed at the spring NCC meeting.

4. Physical meeting in Chicago. (This will be the last meeting for this project.)
   • Road Map ends June 30th.
   • What would you like to see come out of April meeting:
   • Comments:
     o Is a physical meeting necessary or could this be accomplished with a conference call?
     o Is there an opportunity to identify priority research in the Road Map; determine which of the problem statements are “ripe” for focus.
Cheryl Richter: There is value to introspection, but the Board also needs to look to the future. This executive board meeting will be the last meeting for this contract, but not for this project. The Board will continue to meet, just convened through FHWA’s standing meeting coordinators. Research related to the Road Map will continue.

The group needs to discuss priorities for research within the Road Map framework, understand the progress made, identify issues discussed in the past, prioritize the next round of research.

It’s difficult to interact on the level necessary for research prioritization via teleconference.

Sandra Larson: The meeting should discuss the benefits of the identified research to the pooled fund states and FHWA. There will be an update regarding discussions from the NCC meeting, but the pooled fund proposal may not be ready for discussion.

Face-to-face meeting will be Tuesday, April 24th.
Send agenda items to Tom Cackler at the CP Tech Center or Ahmad Ardani.